С	se 8:23-cv-00927-MCS-JDE	Document 1 #:1	Filed 05/26/23	Page 1 of 10	Page ID
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Craig B. Sanders, Esq. (Cal Bar 284397) Jacqueline Mandel, Esq. (Cal Bar 317119) SANDERS LAW GROUP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402 Uniondale, NY 11553 Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 282-7878 Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group Email: jmandel@sanderslaw.group File No.: 125475				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
9					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12 13	Made MD				
14	Matthew McDermott,				
15	Plaintiff,		Case No:		
16	V.		COMPLAINT		
17	RYI Unity LLC,		DEMAND FOR	JURY TRIAI	
18	Defendant.				
19		ι.			
20	Plaintiff Matthew McDermott ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned				
21					
22	counsel, for his Complaint against Defendant RYI Unity LLC ("Defendant")				
23	states and alleges as follows:				
24	INTRODUCTION				
25 26	1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement.				
27	1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement.				
28	2. Plaintiff herein creates photographic images and owns the rights to				
_0			1		

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

SANDERS LAWGROUP.

these images which Plaintiff licenses for various uses including online and print publications.

- 3. Defendant owns and operates a website known as unitynews.net (the "Website").
- 4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff actively copied, stored, and/or displayed Plaintiff's photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Matthew McDermott is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York and resides in Queens County, New York.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant RYI Unity LLC, is a California corporation with a principal place of business at 256 S Calle Diaz, Anaheim in Orange County, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant RYI Unity LLC because it maintains its principal place of business in California.
 - 9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(a)(2) because Defendant

RYI Unity LLC does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

A. Plaintiff's Copyright Ownership

- 10. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade who is the legal and rightful owners of photographs which Plaintiff licenses to online and print publications.
- 11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's photograph portfolio.
- 12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office (the "USCO") which cover many of Plaintiff's photographs while many others are the subject of pending copyright applications.
- 13. Plaintiff's photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff's own protectable copyright interests.
- 14. On March 15, 2022, Plaintiff authored a photograph of Mayor Eric Adams speaking at a conference (the "*Photograph*"). A copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 15. Plaintiff applied to the USCO to register the Photograph on or about March 29, 2022 under Application No. 1-11281664681.

11

9

SIG SANDERS

19

21

26

- The Photograph was registered by the USCO on March 29, 2022 16. under Registration No. VA 2-297-412.
- Plaintiff created the Photograph with the intention of it being used 17. commercially and for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

B. **Defendant's Infringing Activity**

- 18. Defendant is the registered owner and operator of the Website and is responsible for its content.
 - The Website is a popular and lucrative commercial enterprise. 19.
- The Website is monetized in that it contains paid advertisements, 20. sells its decentralized APPS, and sells its cryptocurrency.
- 21. On or about March 16, 2022, Defendant posted the Photograph on its Website as part of an article. A copy of a screengrab of the Website including the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- The Photograph was displayed at URL: https://unitynews.net/mayor-22. adams-hits-miami-for-cryptocurrency-conference/.
- The Photograph was stored at URL: https://unitynews.net/wp-23. content/uploads/2022/03/adams-crypto-index-750x375.jpg.
- On or about March 17, 2022, Plaintiff first observed the Photograph 24. on the Website.
 - Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant 25.

5

8

11

SIG SANDERS

- Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied, stored and 26. displayed without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights (hereinafter the "Infringement").
- 27. The Infringement includes a URL ("Uniform Resource Locator") for a fixed tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be communicated for a period of more than a transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific infringement. 17 U.S.C. §106(5); Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc., 508 F.3d 1146, 1160 (9th Cir. 2007).
- 28. The Infringement is an exact copy of the entirety of Plaintiff's original image with the addition of a "Bitcoin" artwork that was directly copied and stored by Defendant on the Website.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and 29. pervasive role in the content posted on its Website, including, but not limited to, copying, posting, selecting, commenting on and/or displaying images including, but not limited to, Plaintiff's Photograph.
- Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and 30. volitionally posted to the Website by Defendant.
 - Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringement 31.

8

5

10

14

12

16

SIG SANDERS

25

26

23

knowingly and in violation of applicable United States Copyright Laws.

- Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and 32. ability to control and limit the infringing activities on its Website, and exercised, and/or had the right and ability to exercise, such right.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its 33. Website.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial 34. benefit directly attributable to the Infringement.
- Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to 35. the Website and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its business' revenues and paid advertisements.
- Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed 36. the unlawful copy of the Photograph on the Website.
- 37. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.
- Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm 38. Plaintiff's potential market for the Photograph.
- As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been 39. substantially harmed.

7

15

SIG SANDERS

22

24

FIRST COUNT

(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)

- Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations 40. contained in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.
- The Photograph is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns 41. valid copyright properly registered with the United States Copyright Office.
- Plaintiff has not licensed Defendant the right to use the Photograph 42. in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyrights to Defendant.
- Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, and in willful 43. violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, stored, reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.
- Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the 44. Photograph constitutes willful copyright infringement. Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc., 499 U.S. 340, 361 (1991).
- 45. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendant willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that they used, published, communicated, posted,

- publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, the original and unique Photograph of the Plaintiff without Plaintiff's consent or authority, by using it in the infringing article on the Website.
- 46. As a result of Defendant's violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for the infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- 47. As a result of the Defendant's violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.
- 48. As a result of Defendant's violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

JURY DEMAND

49. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed upon

ლ <u>.</u>
SAN SAN
<u>O</u>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq. and award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- finding that Defendant infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright a. interest in the Photograph by copying and displaying it without a license or consent;
- for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of b. Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for the infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining c. Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against d. Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- for pre judgment interest as permitted by law; and e.
- f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Document 1

#:10

Case 8:23-cv-00927-MCS-JDE

SANDERS SANDERS

Filed 05/26/23 Page 10 of 10 Page ID